arXiv:0710.3675v2 [math-ph] 10 Dec 2008

THE COMBINATORICS OF BOGOLIUBOV'S RECURSION IN RENORMALIZATION

KURUSCH EBRAHIMI-FARD AND DOMINIQUE MANCHON

Abstract. We describe various combinatorial aspects of the Birkhoff–Connes–Kreimer factorization in perturbative renormalisation. The analog of Bogoliubov's preparation map on the Lie algebra of Feynman graphs is identified with the pre-Lie Magnus expansion. Our results apply to any connected filtered Hopf algebra, based on the pro-nilpotency of the Lie algebra of infinitesimal characters.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. A summary of Birkhoff–Connes–Kreimer factorization 2
2.1. Connected graded bialgebras 2
2.2. Connected filtered bialgebras 3
2.3. The convolution product 4
2.4. Characters and infinitesimal characters 5
2.5. Renormalisation in connected filtered Hopf algebras 6
2.6. The Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff recursion 7
2.7. Application to perturbative renormalisation I 9
3. Rota–Baxter and dendriform algebras 9
3.1. Weight θ BCH-recursion vs. pre-Lie Magnus expansion 15
3.2. Application to perturbative renormalisation II 15
3.3. Non-commutative Bohnenblust–Spitzer formulas 16
4. As simple as it gets: a matrix calculus for renormalization 17
4.1. The matrix representation 17
4.2. The matrix form of Connes–Kreimer's Birkhoff decomposition 17
Acknowledgments 18
References 19

1. Introduction

The recursive nature of the process of renormalization in perturbative quantum field theory (with respect to the loop number of Feynman diagrams considered) has been settled long ago by N. N. Bogoliubov and O. S. Parasiuk [3, 26, 49]. However, its mathematical structure has become much more transparent since the seminal discovery of a Hopf algebra structure on Feynman diagrams by D. Kreimer [28] and subsequent work by A. Connes and Kreimer [7, 8, 9].

We present here a concise survey of several recent works on algebro-combinatorial aspects of the process of perturbative renormalization, in particular Bogoliubov's recursion respectively Connes–Kreimer's Birkhoff decomposition. The natural mathematical setting for such studies is provided by connected filtered Hopf algebras. Indeed, this leads, with the connectedness property being the very key to a recursive approach, to abstract versions of the counterterm character in general Rota–Baxter and dendriform algebras. Our approach allows us to understand the recursive nature of renormalization on the level of the pro-nilpotent Lie algebra of Feynman graphs. It turns out that the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff recursion respectively the pre-Lie Magnus expansion provide the Lie algebraic analog of Bogoliubov's preparation

---

Date: December 11, 2008
2001 PACS Classification: 03.70.+k, 11.10.Gh, 02.10.Hh .

map for Feynman graphs. We finish this survey by giving a short account of a natural matrix setting for perturbative renormalization which is well-suited for low-order explicit computations. Moreover, it allows for the transparent realization of the aforementioned abstract findings.

Let us briefly outline the organization of this survey. In the next section we review the essential notions from Hopf algebra theory. This section finishes with an abstract review on Connes–Kreimer's Birkhoff decomposition of Feynman rules in terms of Bogoliubov's preparation map. Subsection 2.6 contains an approach to Connes–Kreimer's Birkhoff decomposition based on a recursion defined in terms of the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula. In section 3 we analyze Bogoliubov's recursion from the point of view of Rota–Baxter algebras. These algebras provide the natural tools to understand Connes–Kreimer's finding of a factorization solved by Bogoliubov's formula. As it turns out, Loday's dendriform algebras serve as an abstract algebraic frame for one of the main aspects, i.e. iteration of Rota–Baxter maps and a particular interplay between an associative and a pre-Lie product induced by the Rota–Baxter structure. This section ends with a continuation of the last subsection of section 3 dwelling on aspects related to renormalization theory. Finally, we briefly mention a non-Hopfian approach to Connes–Kreimer's finding in terms of triangular matrices providing a simple and straightforward setting for renormalization.

2. A SUMMARY OF BIRKHOFF–CONNES–KREIMER FACTORIZATION

We introduce the crucial property of connectedness for bialgebras. The main interest resides in the possibility to implement recursive procedures in connected bialgebras, the induction taking place with respect to a filtration (e.g. the coradical filtration) or a grading. An important example of these techniques is the recursive construction of the antipode, which then "comes for free", showing that any connected bialgebra is in fact a connected Hopf algebra. The recursive nature of Bogoliubov's formula in the BPHZ [3, 26, 49] approach to perturbative renormalization ultimately comes from the connectedness of the underlying Hopf algebra respectively the corresponding pro-nilpotency of the Lie algebra of infinitesimal characters.

For details on bialgebras and Hopf algebras we refer the reader to the standard references, e.g. [46]. The use of bialgebras and Hopf algebras in combinatorics can at least be traced back to the seminal work of Joni and Rota [27].

2.1. **Connected graded bialgebras.** Let k be a field with characteristic zero. A *graded Hopf algebra* on k is a graded k-vector space:

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_n$$

endowed with a product $m : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, a coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, a unit $u : k \to \mathcal{H}$, a co-unit $\varepsilon : \mathcal{H} \to k$ and an antipode $S : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ fulfilling the usual axioms of a Hopf algebra [46], and such that:

$$\begin{aligned} m(\mathcal{H}_p \otimes \mathcal{H}_q) &\subset \mathcal{H}_{p+q}, \\ \Delta(\mathcal{H}_n) &\subset \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \mathcal{H}_p \otimes \mathcal{H}_q, \\ S(\mathcal{H}_n) &\subset \mathcal{H}_n. \end{aligned}$$

If we do not ask for the existence of an antipode S on $\mathcal{H}$ we get the definition of a *graded bialgebra*. In a graded bialgebra $\mathcal{H}$ we shall consider the increasing filtration:

$$\mathcal{H}^n = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{n} \mathcal{H}_p.$$

Suppose moreover that $\mathcal{H}$ is *connected*, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_0$ is one-dimensional. Then we have:

$$\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{H}_n.$$

Proposition 1. *For any $x \in \mathcal{H}^n, n \geq 1$ we can write:*

$$\Delta x = x \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes x + \widetilde{\Delta} x, \qquad \widetilde{\Delta} x \in \bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=n, \\ p \neq 0, q \neq 0}} \mathcal{H}_p \otimes \mathcal{H}_q.$$

The map $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is coassociative on $\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon$ *and $\widetilde{\Delta}_k := (I^{\otimes k-1} \otimes \widetilde{\Delta})(I^{\otimes k-2} \otimes \widetilde{\Delta}) \cdots \widetilde{\Delta}$ sends $\mathcal{H}^n$ into $(\mathcal{H}^{n-k})^{\otimes k+1}$.*

Proof. Thanks to connectedness we clearly can write:

$$\Delta x = a(x \otimes \mathbf{1}) + b(\mathbf{1} \otimes x) + \widetilde{\Delta} x$$

with $a, b \in k$ and $\widetilde{\Delta} x \in \operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon \otimes \operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon$. The co-unit property then tells us that, with $k \otimes \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H} \otimes k$ canonically identified with $\mathcal{H}$:

$$x = (\varepsilon \otimes I)(\Delta x) = bx, \qquad\qquad x = (I \otimes \varepsilon)(\Delta x) = ax,$$

hence $a = b = 1$. We shall use the following two variants of Sweedler's notation:

$$\Delta x = \sum_{(x)} x_1 \otimes x_2, \qquad \widetilde{\Delta} x = \sum_{(x)} x' \otimes x'',$$

the second being relevant only for $x \in \operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon$. If x is homogeneous of degree n we can suppose that the components x_1, x_2, x', and x'' in the expressions above are homogeneous as well, and we have then $|x_1| + |x_2| = n$ and $|x'| + |x''| = n$. We easily compute:

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta \otimes I)\Delta(x) &= x \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes x \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes x \\ &\quad + \sum_{(x)} x' \otimes x'' \otimes \mathbf{1} + x' \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes x'' + \mathbf{1} \otimes x' \otimes x'' \\ &\quad + (\widetilde{\Delta} \otimes I)\widetilde{\Delta}(x)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(I \otimes \Delta)\Delta(x) &= x \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes x \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes 1 \otimes x \\ &\quad + \sum_{(x)} x' \otimes x'' \otimes \mathbf{1} + x' \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes x'' + \mathbf{1} \otimes x' \otimes x'' \\ &\quad + (I \otimes \widetilde{\Delta})\widetilde{\Delta}(x),\end{aligned}$$

hence the co-associativity of $\widetilde{\Delta}$ comes from the one of Δ. Finally it is easily seen by induction on k that for any $x \in \mathcal{H}^n$ we can write:

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_k(x) = \sum_x x^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{(k+1)},$$

with $|x^{(j)}| \geq 1$. The grading imposes:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} |x^{(j)}| = n,$$

so the maximum possible for any degree $|x^{(j)}|$ is $n - k$. □

2.2. **Connected filtered bialgebras.** A *filtered Hopf algebra* on k is a k-vector space together with an increasing $\mathbb{Z}_+$-indexed filtration:

$$\mathcal{H}^0 \subset \mathcal{H}^1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{H}^n \subset \cdots, \quad \bigcup_n \mathcal{H}^n = \mathcal{H}$$

endowed with a product $m : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, a coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, a unit $u : k \to \mathcal{H}$, a co-unit $\varepsilon : \mathcal{H} \to k$ and an antipode $S : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ fulfilling the usual axioms of a Hopf algebra, and such that:

$$m(\mathcal{H}^p \otimes \mathcal{H}^q) \subset \mathcal{H}^{p+q}, \qquad \Delta(\mathcal{H}^n) \subset \sum_{p+q=n} \mathcal{H}^p \otimes \mathcal{H}^q, \qquad \text{and } S(\mathcal{H}^n) \subset \mathcal{H}^n.$$

If we do not ask for the existence of an antipode S on $\mathcal{H}$ we get the definition of a *filtered bialgebra*. For any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ we set:

$$|x| := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N},\ x \in \mathcal{H}^n\}.$$

Any graded bialgebra or Hopf algebra is obviously filtered by the canonical filtration associated to the grading:

$$\mathcal{H}^n := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{H}_i,$$

and in that case, if x is an homogeneous element, x is of degree n if and only if $|x| = n$. We say that the filtered bialgebra $\mathcal{H}$ is connected if $\mathcal{H}^0$ is one-dimensional. There is an analogue of Proposition 1 in the connected filtered case, the proof of which is very similar:

Proposition 2. *For any $x \in \mathcal{H}^n, n \geq 1$ we can write:*

$$\Delta x = x \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes x + \widetilde{\Delta} x, \qquad \widetilde{\Delta} x \in \sum_{\substack{p+q=n,\\ p\neq 0, q\neq 0}} \mathcal{H}^p \otimes \mathcal{H}^q.$$

The map $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is coassociative on $\operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}_k = (I^{\otimes k-1} \otimes \widetilde{\Delta})(I^{\otimes k-2} \otimes \widetilde{\Delta}) \cdots \widetilde{\Delta}$ sends $\mathcal{H}^n$ into $(\mathcal{H}^{n-k})^{\otimes k+1}$.

The coradical filtration endows any pointed Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$ with a structure of filtered Hopf algebra (S. Montgomery, [37] Lemma 1.1). If $\mathcal{H}$ is moreover irreducible (i.e. if the image of k under the unit map u is the unique one-dimensional simple subcoalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$) this filtered Hopf algebra is moreover connected.

2.3. **The convolution product.** An important result is that any connected filtered bialgebra is indeed a filtered Hopf algebra, in the sense that the antipode comes for free. We give a proof of this fact as well as a recursive formula for the antipode with the help of the *convolution product*: let $\mathcal{H}$ be a (connected filtered) bialgebra, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be any k-algebra (which will be called the *target algebra*). The convolution product on the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A})$ of linear maps from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi * \psi(x) &= m_{\mathcal{A}}(\varphi \otimes \psi)\Delta(x)\\ &= \sum_{(x)} \varphi(x_1)\psi(x_2).\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3. *The map $e = u_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \varepsilon$, given by $e(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $e(x) = 0$ for any $x \in \operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon$, is a unit for the convolution product. Moreover the set $G(\mathcal{A}) := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}),\ \varphi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ endowed with the convolution product is a group.*

Proof. The first statement is straightforward. To prove the second let us consider the formal series:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^{*-1}(x) &= \big(e - (e - \varphi)\big)^{*-1}(x)\\ &= \sum_{m\geq 0} (e - \varphi)^{*m}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Using $(e - \varphi)(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ we have immediately $(e - \varphi)^{*m}(\mathbf{1}) = 0$, and for any $x \in \operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon$:

$$(e - \varphi)^{*n}(x) = m_{\mathcal{A},n-1}(\underbrace{\varphi \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi}_{n \text{ times}})\widetilde{\Delta}_{n-1}(x).$$

When $x \in \mathcal{H}^p$ this expression vanishes then for $n \geq p + 1$. The formal series ends up then with a finite number of terms for any x, which proves the result. □

Corollary 1. *Any connected filtered bialgebra $\mathcal{H}$ is a filtered Hopf algebra. The antipode is defined by:*

$$S(x) = \sum_{m\geq 0} (u \circ \varepsilon - I)^{*m}(x). \tag{1}$$

It is given by $S(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ and recursively by any of the two formulas for $x \in \operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon$:

$$S(x) = -x - \sum_{(x)} S(x')x'' \quad \text{and} \quad S(x) = -x - \sum_{(x)} x'S(x'').$$

Proof. The antipode, when it exists, is the inverse of the identity for the convolution product on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$. One just needs then to apply Proposition 3 with $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}$. The two recursive formulas follow directly from the two equalities:

$$m(S \otimes I)\Delta(x) = \ 0 \ = m(I \otimes S)\Delta(x)$$

fulfilled by any $x \in \operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon$. □

Let $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A})$ formed by the elements α such that $\alpha(\mathbf{1})=0$. It is clearly a subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A})$ for the convolution product. We have:

$$G(\mathcal{A}) = e + \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}). \tag{2}$$

From now on we shall suppose that the ground field k is of characteristic zero. For any $x \in \mathcal{H}^n$ the exponential:

$$\exp^*(\alpha)(x) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{\alpha^{*k}(x)}{k!}$$

is a finite sum (ending up at $k=n$). It is a bijection from $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ onto $G(\mathcal{A})$. Its inverse is given by:

$$\log^*(e+\alpha)(x) = \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k}\alpha^{*k}(x).$$

This sum again ends up at $k=n$ for any $x \in \mathcal{H}^n$. Let us introduce a decreasing filtration on $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A})$:

$$\mathcal{L}^n := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{L},\ \alpha_{|_{\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}} = 0\}.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{L}^0=\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^1=\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$. We define the valuation $\operatorname{val}\varphi$ of an element φ of $\mathcal{L}$ as the biggest integer k such that φ is in $\mathcal{L}^k$. We shall consider in the sequel the ultrametric distance on $\mathcal{L}$ induced by the filtration:

$$d(\varphi,\psi) = 2^{-\operatorname{val}(\varphi-\psi)}. \tag{3}$$

For any $\alpha,\beta \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ let $[\alpha,\beta]=\alpha*\beta-\beta*\alpha$.

Proposition 4. *We have the inclusion:*

$$\mathcal{L}^p * \mathcal{L}^q \subset \mathcal{L}^{p+q},$$

and moreover the metric space $\mathcal{L}$ endowed with the distance defined by (3) is complete.

Proof. Take any $x \in \mathcal{H}^{p+q-1}$, and any $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}^p$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^q$. We have

$$(\alpha*\beta)(x) = \sum_{(x)} \alpha(x_1)\beta(x_2).$$

Recall that we denote by $|x|$ the minimal n such that $x \in \mathcal{H}^n$. Since $|x_1|+|x_2|=|x|\leq p+q-1$, either $|x_1|\leq p-1$ or $|x_2|\leq q-1$, so the expression vanishes. Now if (ψ_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{L}$ it is immediate to see that this sequence is *locally stationary*, i.e. for any $x\in\mathcal{H}$ there exists $N(x)\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\psi_n(x)=\psi_{N(x)}(x)$ for any $n\geq N(x)$. Then the limit of (ψ_n) exists and is clearly defined by:

$$\psi(x) = \psi_{N(x)}(x).$$

□

As a corollary the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^1=\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ is *pro-nilpotent*, in a sense that it is the projective limit of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{L}^n$, which are nilpotent.

2.4. **Characters and infinitesimal characters.** Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected filtered Hopf algebra over k, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a *commutative* k-algebra. We shall consider unital algebra morphisms from $\mathcal{H}$ to the target algebra $\mathcal{A}$, which we shall call slightly abusively *characters*. We recover of course the usual notion of character when the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is the ground field k. The notion of character involves only the algebra structure of $\mathcal{H}$. On the other hand the convolution product on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A})$ involves only the *coalgebra* structure on $\mathcal{H}$. Let us consider now the full Hopf algebra structure on $\mathcal{H}$ and see what happens to characters with the convolution product:

Proposition 5. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected filtered Hopf algebra over k, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative k-algebra. Then the characters from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ form a group $G_1(\mathcal{A})$ under the convolution product, and for any $\varphi \in G_1(\mathcal{A})$ the inverse is given by:*

$$\varphi^{*-1} = \varphi \circ S.$$

We call *infinitesimal characters with values in the algebra $\mathcal{A}$* those elements α of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A})$ such that:

$$\alpha(xy) = e(x)\alpha(y) + \alpha(x)e(y).$$

Proposition 6. *Let $G_1(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$) be the set of characters of $\mathcal{H}$ with values in $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. the set of infinitesimal characters of $\mathcal{H}$ with values in $\mathcal{A}$). Then $G_1(\mathcal{A})$ is a subgroup of G, the exponential restricts to a bijection from $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$ onto $G_1(\mathcal{A})$, and $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. Part of these results are a reformulation of Proposition 5 and some points are straightforward. The only non-trivial point concerns $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$ and $G_1(\mathcal{A})$. Take two infinitesimal characters α and β with values in $\mathcal{A}$ and compute:

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha*\beta)(xy) &= \sum_{(x)(y)} \alpha(x_1y_1)\beta(x_2y_2)\\ &= \sum_{(x)(y)} \big(\alpha(x_1)e(y_1)+e(x_1)\alpha(y_1)\big).\big(\beta(x_2)e(y_2)+e(x_2)\alpha(y_2)\big)\\ &= (\alpha*\beta)(x)e(y)+\alpha(x)\beta(y)+\beta(x)\alpha(y)+e(x)(\alpha*\beta)(y).\end{aligned}$$

Using the commutativity of $\mathcal{A}$ we immediately get:

$$[\alpha,\beta](xy) = [\alpha,\beta](x)e(y)+e(x)[\alpha,\beta](y),$$

which shows that $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$ is a Lie algebra. Now for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$ we have:

$$\alpha^{*n}(xy) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \alpha^{*k}(x)\alpha^{*(n-k)}(y),$$

as easily seen by induction on n. A straightforward computation then yields:

$$\exp^*(\alpha)(xy) = \exp^*(\alpha)(x)\exp^*(\alpha)(y).$$

□

2.5. **Renormalisation in connected filtered Hopf algebras.** We describe in this section the renormalisation à la Connes–Kreimer ([28], [7], [8]) in the abstract context of connected filtered Hopf algebras: the objects to be renormalised are characters with values in a commutative unital target algebra $\mathcal{A}$ endowed with a *renormalisation scheme*, i.e. a splitting $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_- \oplus \mathcal{A}_+$ into two subalgebras with $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{A}_+$. An important example is given by the *minimal subtraction* (MS) *scheme* on the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of meromorphic functions of one variable z, where $\mathcal{A}_+$ is the algebra of meromorphic functions which are holomorphic at $z=0$, and where $\mathcal{A}_- = z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]$ stands for the "polar parts". Any $\mathcal{A}$-valued character φ admits a unique *Birkhoff decomposition*

$$\varphi = \varphi_-^{*-1} * \varphi_+,$$

where φ_+ is an $\mathcal{A}_+$-valued character, and where $\varphi_-(\operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{A}_-$. In the MS scheme case described just above, the renormalised character is the scalar-valued character given by the evaluation of φ_+ at $z=0$ (whereas the evaluation of φ at $z=0$ does not necessarily make sense).

Theorem 1. *Factorization of the group $G(\mathcal{A})$*

1. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected filtered Hopf algebra. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative unital algebra with a renormalisation scheme, and let $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ be the projection onto $\mathcal{A}_-$ parallel to $\mathcal{A}_+$. Let $G(\mathcal{A})$ be the group of those $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A})$ such that $\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$ endowed with the convolution product. Any $\varphi \in G(\mathcal{A})$ admits a unique Birkhoff decomposition*

$$\varphi = \varphi_-^{*-1} * \varphi_+, \tag{4}$$

where φ_- sends $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon$ into $\mathcal{A}_-$, and where φ_+ sends $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{A}_+$. The maps φ_- and φ_+ are given on $\operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon$ by the following recursive formulas

$$\varphi_-(x) = -\pi\Big(\varphi(x) + \sum_{(x)} \varphi_-(x')\varphi(x'')\Big)$$

$$\varphi_+(x) = (I-\pi)\Big(\varphi(x) + \sum_{(x)} \varphi_-(x')\varphi(x'')\Big).$$

where I is the identity map.

2. *If φ is a character, the components φ_- and φ_+ occurring in the Birkhoff decomposition of φ are characters as well.*

Proof. The proof goes along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 4 of [8]: for the first assertion it is immediate from the definition of π that φ_- sends $\operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon$ into $\mathcal{A}_-$, and that φ_+ sends $\operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon$ into $\mathcal{A}_+$. It only remains to check equality $\varphi_+ = \varphi_- * \varphi$, which is an easy computation

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_+(x) &= (I-\pi)\Big(\varphi(x) + \sum_{(x)} \varphi_-(x')\varphi(x'')\Big).\\ &= \varphi(x) + \varphi_-(x) + \sum_{(x)} \varphi_-(x')\varphi(x'')\\ &= (\varphi_- * \varphi)(x).\end{aligned}$$

The proof of assertion 2) can be carried out exactly as in [8] and relies on the following *Rota–Baxter relation* in $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\pi(a)\pi(b) = \pi\big(\pi(a)b + a\pi(b)\big) - \pi(ab), \tag{5}$$

which is easily verified by decomposing a and b into their $\mathcal{A}_\pm$-parts. We will derive below a more conceptual proof. □

Remark 1. *Define the* Bogoliubov preparation map *as the map $B : G(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A})$ given by:*

$$B(\varphi) = \varphi_- * (\varphi - e), \tag{6}$$

such that for any $x \in \operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon$ we have:

$$B(\varphi)(x) = \varphi(x) + \sum_{(x)} \varphi_-(x')\varphi(x'').$$

The components of φ in the Birkhoff decomposition read:

$$\varphi_- = e - \pi \circ B(\varphi), \qquad \varphi_+ = e + (I-\pi)\circ B(\varphi). \tag{7}$$

On $\operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon$ they reduce to $-\pi\circ B(\varphi)$, $(I-\pi)\circ B(\varphi)$, respectively. Plugging equation (6) inside (7) and setting $\alpha := e - \varphi$ we get the following expression for φ_-:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_- &= e + P(\varphi_- * \alpha) \qquad (8)\\ &= e + P(\alpha) + P\big(P(\alpha)*\alpha\big) + \cdots + \underbrace{P\Big(P\big(\ldots P(}_{n\text{ times}}\alpha)*\alpha\big)\cdots *\alpha\Big) + \cdots\end{aligned}$$

and for φ_+ we find:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_+ &= e - \tilde{P}(\varphi_- * \alpha) \qquad (9)\\ &= e - \tilde{P}(\varphi_+ * \beta) \qquad (10)\\ &= e - \tilde{P}(\beta) + \tilde{P}\big(\tilde{P}(\beta)*\beta\big) - \cdots + (-1)^n\,\underbrace{\tilde{P}\Big(\tilde{P}\big(\ldots\tilde{P}(}_{n\text{ times}}\beta)*\beta\big)\cdots *\beta\Big) + \cdots\end{aligned}$$

with $\beta := \varphi^{-1} * \alpha = \varphi^{-1} - e$, and where $\tilde{P}$ and P are projections on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A})$ defined by $\tilde{P}(\alpha) = (I-\pi)\circ\alpha$ and $P(\alpha) = \pi\circ\alpha$, respectively.

2.6. **The Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff recursion.** Let $\mathcal{L}$ be any complete filtered Lie algebra. Thus $\mathcal{L}$ has a decreasing filtration $(\mathcal{L}_n)$ of Lie subalgebras such that $[\mathcal{L}_m, \mathcal{L}_n] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{m+n}$ and $\mathcal{L} \cong \varprojlim \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_n$ (i.e., $\mathcal{L}$ is complete with respect to the topology induced by the filtration). Let A be the completion of the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ for the decreasing filtration naturally coming from that of $\mathcal{L}$. The functions:

$$\begin{aligned}\exp : A_1 \to 1 + A_1, &\qquad \exp(a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{a^n}{n!},\\ \log : 1 + A_1 \to A_1, &\qquad \log(1+a) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-a)^n}{n}\end{aligned}$$

are well-defined and are the inverse of each other. The Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff (*BCH*) formula writes for any $x, y \in \mathcal{L}_1$ [41, 48]:

$$\exp(x)\exp(y) = \exp\big(C(x,y)\big) = \exp\big(x + y + \mathrm{BCH}(x,y)\big),$$

where $\mathrm{BCH}(x,y)$ is an element of $\mathcal{L}_2$ given by a Lie series the first few terms of which are:

$$\mathrm{BCH}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}[x,y] + \frac{1}{12}[x,[x,y]] + \frac{1}{12}[y,[y,x]] - \frac{1}{24}[x,[y,[x,y]]] + \cdots$$

Now let $P : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ be any linear map preserving the filtration of $\mathcal{L}$. We define $\tilde{P}$ to be $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{L}} - P$. For $a \in \mathcal{L}_1$, define $\chi(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \chi_{(n)}(a)$ where $\chi_{(n)}(a)$ is given by the *BCH*-recursion:

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi_{(0)}(a) := a, \\ &\chi_{(n+1)}(a) = a - \mathrm{BCH}\big(P(\chi_{(n)}(a)),\, (\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{L}} - P)(\chi_{(n)}(a))\big), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and where the limit is taken with respect to the topology given by the filtration. Then the map $\chi : \mathcal{L}_1 \to \mathcal{L}_1$ satisfies:

$$\chi(a) = a - \mathrm{BCH}\big(P(\chi(a)),\, \tilde{P}(\chi(a))\big). \tag{12}$$

This map appeared in [13], [12], where more details can be found, see also [35, 36]. The following proposition ([16], [35]) gives further properties of the map χ.

Proposition 7. *For any linear map $P : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ preserving the filtration of $\mathcal{L}$ there exists a (usually non-linear) unique map $\chi : \mathcal{L}_1 \to \mathcal{L}_1$ such that $(\chi - \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{L}})(\mathcal{L}_i) \subset \mathcal{L}_{2i}$ for any $i \geq 1$, and such that, with $\tilde{P} := \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{L}} - P$ we have:*

$$\forall a \in \mathcal{L}_1, \quad a = C\Big(P\big(\chi(a)\big),\, \tilde{P}\big(\chi(a)\big)\Big). \tag{13}$$

This map is bijective, and its inverse is given by:

$$\chi^{-1}(a) = C\big(P(a),\, \tilde{P}(a)\big) = a + \mathrm{BCH}\big(P(a),\, \tilde{P}(a)\big). \tag{14}$$

Proof. Equation (13) can be rewritten as:

$$\chi(a) = F_a\big(\chi(a)\big),$$

with $F_a : \mathcal{L}_1 \to \mathcal{L}_1$ defined by:

$$F_a(b) = a - \mathrm{BCH}\big(P(b), \tilde{P}(b)\big).$$

This map F_a is a contraction with respect to the metric associated with the filtration: indeed if $b, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_1$ with $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_n$, we have:

$$F_a(b+\varepsilon) - F_a(b) = \mathrm{BCH}\big(P(b),\, \tilde{P}(b)\big) - \mathrm{BCH}\big(P(b+\varepsilon),\, \tilde{P}(b+\varepsilon)\big).$$

The right-hand side is a sum of iterated commutators in each of which ε does appear at least once. So it belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{n+1}$. So the sequence $F_a^n(b)$ converges in $\mathcal{L}_1$ to a unique fixed point $\chi(a)$ for F_a.

Let us remark that for any $a \in \mathcal{L}_i$, then, by a straightforward induction argument, $\chi_{(n)}(a) \in \mathcal{L}_i$ for any n, so $\chi(a) \in \mathcal{L}_i$ by taking the limit. Then the difference $\chi(a) - a = \mathrm{BCH}\big(P\big(\chi(a)\big),\, \tilde{P}\big(\chi(a)\big)\big)$ clearly belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{2i}$. Now consider the map $\psi : \mathcal{L}_1 \to \mathcal{L}_1$ defined by $\psi(a) = C\big(P(a),\, \tilde{P}(a)\big)$. It is clear from the definition of χ that $\psi \circ \chi = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{L}_1}$. Then χ is injective and ψ is surjective. The injectivity of ψ will be an immediate consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *The map ψ increases the ultrametric distance given by the filtration.*

Proof. For any $x, y \in \mathcal{L}_1$ the distance $d(x,y)$ is given by 2^{-n} where $n = \sup\{k \in \mathbb{N},\, x - y \in \mathcal{L}_k\}$. We have then to prove that $\psi(x) - \psi(y) \notin \mathcal{L}_{n+1}$. But:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) - \psi(y) &= x - y + \mathrm{BCH}\big(P(x),\, \tilde{P}(x)\big) - \mathrm{BCH}\big(P(y),\, \tilde{P}(y)\big) \\ &= x - y + \Big(\mathrm{BCH}\big(P(x),\, \tilde{P}(x)\big) - \mathrm{BCH}\big(P(x) - P(x-y),\, \tilde{P}(x) - \tilde{P}(x-y)\big)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

The rightmost term inside the large brackets clearly belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{n+1}$. As $x - y \notin \mathcal{L}_{n+1}$ by hypothesis, this proves the claim. □

The map ψ is then a bijection, so χ is also bijective, which proves Proposition 7. □

Corollary 2. *For any $a \in \mathcal{L}_1$ we have the following equality taking place in $1 + A_1 \subset A$:*

$$\exp(a) = \exp\big(P(\chi(a))\big)\exp\big(\tilde{P}(\chi(a))\big). \tag{15}$$

Putting (8) and (15) together we get for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_1$ the following *non-commutative Spitzer identity*:

$$e + P(\alpha) + \cdots + \underbrace{P\Big(P\big(\ldots P(}_{n \text{ times}}\alpha) * \alpha\big) \cdots * \alpha\Big) + \cdots = \exp\Big[-P\Big(\chi\big(\log(e-\alpha)\big)\Big)\Big]. \tag{16}$$

This identity is valid for any filtration-preserving Rota–Baxter operator P in a complete filtered Lie algebra (see section 3). For a detailed treatment of these aspects, see [13], [12], [16], [22].

Remark 2. *Using (15) the reader should have no problem in verifying that the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff recursion (12) can also be written more compactly:*

$$\chi(a) = a + \mathrm{BCH}\big(-P(\chi(a)),\ a\big). \tag{17}$$

2.7. **Application to perturbative renormalisation I.** Suppose now that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A})$ (with the setup and notations of paragraph 2.5), and that the operator P is now the projection defined by $P(a) = \pi \circ a$. It is clear that Corollary 2 applies in this setting and that the first factor on the right-hand side of (15) is an element of $G_1(\mathcal{A})$, the group of $\mathcal{A}$-valued characters of $\mathcal{H}$, which sends $\operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon$ into $\mathcal{A}_-$, and that the second factor is an element of G_1 which sends $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{A}_+$. Going back to Theorem 1 and using uniqueness of the decomposition (4) we see then that (15) in fact is the Birkhoff–Connes–Kreimer decomposition of the element $\exp^*(a)$ in G_1. Indeed, starting with the infinitesimal character a in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$ equation (15) gives the Birkhoff–Connes–Kreimer decomposition of $\varphi = \exp^*(a)$ in the group $G_1(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$-valued characters of $\mathcal{H}$, i.e.:

$$\varphi_- = \exp^*\big(-P(\chi(a))\big) \ \text{ and } \ \varphi_+ = \exp^*\big(\tilde{P}(\chi(a))\big) \ \text{ such that } \ \varphi = \varphi_-^{-1} * \varphi_+,$$

thus proving the second assertion in Theorem 1.

Therefore, we may say that the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff recursion (17) encodes the process of renormalization on the level of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$ of infinitesimal characters. Indeed, for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$, determined by the Feynman rules character $\varphi = \exp^*(a)$, we calculate the element $b(a) := \chi(a)$ in $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$, i.e. the Lie algebra analog of Bogoliubov's preparation map (6), such that:

$$a = C\big(P(b(a)), \tilde{P}(b(a))\big) = C\big(P(\chi(a)), \tilde{P}(\chi(a)\big) \tag{18}$$

gives rise to (15). By construction, $P(b(a))$ and $\tilde{P}(b(a))$ take values in $\mathcal{A}_\mp$, respectively. Hence, the decomposition (18) of $a \in \mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$ is the Lie algebra analog of the Birkhoff–Connes–Kreimer decomposition of $\varphi = \exp^*(a)$.

Comparing Corollary 2 and Theorem 1 the reader may wonder upon the role played by the Rota–Baxter relation (5) for the projector P. In the following section we will show that it is this identity that allows to write the exponential $\varphi_- = \exp^*\big(-P(\chi(a))\big)$ as a recursion, that is, $\varphi_- = e - P(B(\varphi))$, where $B(\varphi) = \varphi_- * (\varphi - e)$. Equivalently, this amounts to the fact that the group $G_1(\mathcal{A})$ factorizes into two subgroups $G_1^-(\mathcal{A})$ and $G_1^+(\mathcal{A})$, such that $\varphi_\pm \in G_1^\pm(\mathcal{A})$.

3. Rota–Baxter and dendriform algebras

We are interested in abstract versions of identities (8) and (16) fulfilled by the counterterm character φ_-. The general algebraic context is given by Rota–Baxter (associative) algebras of weight θ, which are themselves dendriform algebras. We first briefly recall the definition of Rota–Baxter (RB) algebra and its most important properties. For more details we refer the reader to the classical papers [1, 2, 5, 42, 43], as well as for instance to the references [15, 16].

Let A be an associative not necessarily unital nor commutative algebra with $R \in \operatorname{End}(A)$. The product of a and b in A is written $a \cdot b$ or simply ab when no confusion can arise. We call a tuple (A, R) a *Rota–Baxter algebra* of weight $\theta \in k$ if R satisfies the *Rota–Baxter relation*

$$R(x)R(y) = R\big(R(x)y + xR(y) + \theta xy\big). \tag{19}$$

Note that the operator P of paragraph 2.5 is an idempotent Rota–Baxter operator. Its weight is thus $\theta = -1$. Changing R to $R' := \mu R$, $\mu \in k$, gives rise to a RB algebra of weight $\theta' := \mu\theta$, so that a change in the θ parameter can always be achieved, at least as long as weight non-zero RB algebras are considered. The definition generalizes to other types of algebras than associative algebras: for example one may want to consider RB Lie or pre-Lie algebra structures. Further below we will encounter examples of such structures.

Let us recall some classical examples of RB algebras. First, consider the integration by parts rule for the Riemann integral map. Let $A := C(\mathbb{R})$ be the ring of real continuous functions with pointwise product. The indefinite Riemann integral can be seen as a linear map on A:

$$I : A \to A, \qquad I(f)(x) := \int_0^x f(t)\,dt. \tag{20}$$

Then, integration by parts for the Riemann integral can be written compactly as:

$$I(f)(x)I(g)(x) = I\big(I(f)g\big)(x) + I\big(fI(g)\big)(x), \tag{21}$$

dually to the classical Leibniz rule for derivations. Hence, we found our first example of a weight zero Rota–Baxter map. Correspondingly, on a suitable class of functions, we define the following Riemann summation operators:

$$R_\theta(f)(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{[x/\theta]} \theta f(n\theta) \qquad \text{and} \qquad R'_\theta(f)(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{[x/\theta]+1} \theta f(n\theta). \tag{22}$$

We observe readily that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{[x/\theta]} \theta f(n\theta)\Big)\Big(\sum_{m=1}^{[x/\theta]} \theta g(m\theta)\Big) &= \Big(\sum_{n>m=1}^{[x/\theta]} + \sum_{m>n=1}^{[x/\theta]} + \sum_{m=n=1}^{[x/\theta]}\Big)\theta^2 f(n\theta)g(m\theta)\\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{[x/\theta]} \theta^2 \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{m} f\big(k\theta\big)\Big) g(m\theta) + \sum_{n=1}^{[x/\theta]} \theta^2 \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} g\big(k\theta\big)\Big) f(n\theta) - \sum_{n=1}^{[x/\theta]} \theta^2 f(n\theta)g(n\theta)\\
&= R_\theta\big(R_\theta(f)g\big)(x) + R_\theta\big(fR_\theta(g)\big)(x) + \theta R_\theta(fg)(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Similarly for the map R'_θ except that the diagonal, counted twice, must be subtracted instead of added. Hence, the Riemann summation maps R_θ and R'_θ satisfy the weight θ and the weight $-\theta$ Rota–Baxter relation, respectively.

Proposition 8. *Let (A, R) be a Rota–Baxter algebra. The map $\tilde{R} = -\theta id_A - R$ is a Rota–Baxter map of weight θ on A. The images of R and $\tilde{R}$, $A_\mp \subseteq A$, respectively are subalgebras in A.*

We omit the proof since it follows directly from the Rota–Baxter relation. A *Rota–Baxter ideal* of a Rota–Baxter algebra (A, R) is an ideal $I \subset A$ such that $R(I) \subseteq I$.

The Rota–Baxter relation extends naturally to the Lie algebra L_A corresponding to A:

$$[R(x), R(y)] = R\big([R(x), y] + [x, R(y)]\big) + \theta R\big([x, y]\big)$$

making (L_A, R) into a Rota–Baxter Lie algebra of weight θ.

Proposition 9. *The vector space underlying A equipped with the product:*

$$x *_\theta y := R(x)y + xR(y) + \theta xy \tag{24}$$

is again a Rota–Baxter algebra of weight θ with Rota–Baxter map R. We denote it by (A_θ, R) and call it double Rota–Baxter algebra. The Rota–Baxter map R becomes a (not necessarily unital even if A is unital) algebra homomorphism from the algebra A_θ to A.

Let us remark that for the corresponding Lie algebra L_A we find the new Lie bracket (compare with [44]):

$$[x, y]_\theta := [R(x), y] + [x, R(y)] + \theta[x, y].$$

One sees immediately that $x *_\theta y = -\theta^{-1}\big(R(x)R(y) - \tilde{R}(x)\tilde{R}(y)\big)$, and

$$R\big(a *_\theta b\big) = R(a)R(b) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{R}\big(a *_\theta b\big) = -\tilde{R}(a)\tilde{R}(b). \tag{25}$$

The result in Proposition 9 is best understood in the dendriform setting which we introduce now. A *dendriform algebra* [30] over a field k is a k-vector space A endowed with two bilinear operations $\prec$ and $\succ$ subject to the three axioms below:

$$(a \prec b) \prec c = a \prec (b * c), \quad (a \succ b) \prec c = a \succ (b \prec c), \quad a \succ (b \succ c) = (a * b) \succ c,$$

where $a * b$ stands for $a \prec b + a \succ b$. These axioms easily yield associativity for the law $*$. The bilinear operations $\rhd$ and $\lhd$ defined by:

$$a \rhd b := a \succ b - b \prec a, \qquad a \lhd b := a \prec b - b \succ a \tag{26}$$

are left pre-Lie and right pre-Lie, respectively, which means that we have:

$$(a \rhd b) \rhd c - a \rhd (b \rhd c) = (b \rhd a) \rhd c - b \rhd (a \rhd c), \tag{27}$$

$$(a \lhd b) \lhd c - a \lhd (b \lhd c) = (a \lhd c) \lhd b - a \lhd (c \lhd b). \tag{28}$$

The associative operation $*$ and the pre-Lie operations $\rhd$, $\lhd$ all define the same Lie bracket:

$$[a, b] := a * b - b * a = a \rhd b - b \rhd a = a \lhd b - b \lhd a. \tag{29}$$

Proposition 10. [11] *Any Rota–Baxter algebra gives rise to two dendriform algebra structures given by:*

$$a \prec b := aR(b) + \theta ab = -a\tilde{R}(b), \qquad a \succ b := R(a)b, \tag{30}$$

$$a \prec' b := aR(b), \qquad a \succ' b := R(a)b + \theta ab = -\tilde{R}(a)b. \tag{31}$$

The associated associative product $*$ is given for both structures by $a * b = aR(b) + R(a)b + \theta ab$ and thus coincides with the double Rota–Baxter product (24).

Remark 3. [11] *In fact, by splitting again the binary operation $\prec$ (or alternatively $\succ'$), any Rota–Baxter algebra is* tri-dendriform [32]*, in the sense that the Rota–Baxter structure yields three binary operations $<, \diamond$ and $>$ subject to axioms refining the axioms of dendriform algebras. The three binary operations are defined by $a < b = aR(b)$, $a \diamond b = \theta ab$ and $a > b = R(a)b$. Choosing to put the operation $\diamond$ to the $<$ or $>$ side gives rise to the two dendriform structures above.*

Let $\overline{A} = A \oplus k.\mathbf{1}$ be our dendriform algebra augmented by a unit $\mathbf{1}$:

$$a \prec \mathbf{1} := a =: \mathbf{1} \succ a \qquad \mathbf{1} \prec a := 0 =: a \succ \mathbf{1}, \tag{32}$$

implying $a * \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} * a = a$. Note that $\mathbf{1} * \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$, but that $\mathbf{1} \prec \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1} \succ \mathbf{1}$ are not defined [41], [6]. We recursively define the following set of elements of $\overline{A}[[t]]$ for a fixed $x \in A$:

$$\begin{aligned} w^{(0)}_{\prec}(x) &= w^{(0)}_{\succ}(x) = \mathbf{1}, \\ w^{(n)}_{\prec}(x) &:= x \prec \big(w^{(n-1)}_{\prec}(x)\big), \\ w^{(n)}_{\succ}(x) &:= \big(w^{(n-1)}_{\succ}(x)\big) \succ x. \end{aligned}$$

We also define the following set of iterated left and right pre-Lie products (26). For $n > 0$, let $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$:

$$\ell^{(n)}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) := \Big(\cdots \big((a_1 \rhd a_2) \rhd a_3\big) \cdots \rhd a_{n-1}\Big) \rhd a_n \tag{33}$$

$$r^{(n)}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) := a_1 \lhd \Big(a_2 \lhd \big(a_3 \lhd \cdots (a_{n-1} \lhd a_n)\big) \cdots\Big). \tag{34}$$

For a fixed single element $a \in A$ we can write more compactly for $n > 0$:

$$\ell^{(n+1)}(a) = \big(\ell^{(n)}(a)\big) \rhd a \quad \text{and} \quad r^{(n+1)}(a) = a \lhd \big(r^{(n)}(a)\big) \tag{35}$$

and $\ell^{(1)}(a) := a =: r^{(1)}(a)$. We have the following theorem [23, 17].

Theorem 2. *We have:*

$$w^{(n)}_{\succ}(a) = \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \cdots + i_k = n \\ i_1, \ldots, i_k > 0}} \frac{\ell^{(i_1)}(a) * \cdots * \ell^{(i_k)}(a)}{i_1(i_1 + i_2) \cdots (i_1 + \cdots + i_k)},$$

$$w^{(n)}_{\prec}(a) = \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \cdots + i_k = n \\ i_1, \ldots, i_k > 0}} \frac{r^{(i_k)}(a) * \cdots * r^{(i_1)}(a)}{i_1(i_1 + i_2) \cdots (i_1 + \cdots + i_k)}.$$

These identities nicely show how the dendriform pre-Lie and associative products fit together. This will become even more evident in the following.

We are interested in the solutions X and Y in $\overline{A}[[t]]$ of the following two equations:

$$X = \mathbf{1} + ta \prec X, \qquad Y = \mathbf{1} - Y \succ ta. \tag{36}$$

Formal solutions to (36) are given by:

$$X = \sum_{n\geq 0} t^n w_{\prec}^{(n)}(a) \qquad \text{resp.} \qquad Y = \sum_{n\geq 0} (-t)^n w_{\succ}^{(n)}(a).$$

Let us introduce the following operators in A, where a is any element of A:

$$L_{\prec}[a](b) := a \prec b \quad L_{\succ}[a](b) := a \succ b \quad R_{\prec}[a](b) := b \prec a \quad R_{\succ}[a](b) := b \succ a$$
$$L_{\lhd}[a](b) := a \lhd b \quad L_{\rhd}[a](b) := a \rhd b \quad R_{\lhd}[a](b) := b \lhd a \quad R_{\rhd}[a](b) := b \rhd a.$$

We have recently obtained the following *pre-Lie Magnus expansion* [19]:

Theorem 3. *Let $\Omega' := \Omega'(ta)$, $a \in A$, be the element of $tA[[t]]$ such that $X = \exp^*(\Omega')$ and $Y = \exp^*(-\Omega')$, where X and Y are the solutions of the two equations (36), respectively. This element obeys the following recursive equation:*

$$\Omega'(ta) = \frac{R_{\lhd}[\Omega']}{1 - \exp(-R_{\lhd}[\Omega'])}(ta) = \sum_{m\geq 0} (-1)^m \frac{B_m}{m!} R_{\lhd}[\Omega']^m(ta), \tag{37}$$

or alternatively:

$$\Omega'(ta) = \frac{L_{\rhd}[\Omega']}{\exp(L_{\rhd}[\Omega']) - 1}(ta) = \sum_{m\geq 0} \frac{B_m}{m!} L_{\rhd}[\Omega']^m(ta), \tag{38}$$

where the B_l's are the Bernoulli numbers.

Recall that the Bernoulli numbers are defined via the generating series:

$$\frac{z}{\exp(z) - 1} = \sum_{m\geq 0} \frac{B_m}{m!} z^m = 1 - \frac{1}{2}z + \frac{1}{12}z^2 - \frac{1}{720}z^4 + \cdots,$$

and observe that $B_{2m+3} = 0$, $m \geq 0$.

Suppose that the dendriform structure of A comes from a unital Rota–Baxter algebra of weight θ. The unit (which we denote by 1) has nothing to do with the artificially added unit $\mathbf{1}$ of the underlying dendriform algebra. We extend the Rota–Baxter algebra structure to $\overline{A}$ by setting:

$$R(\mathbf{1}) := 1, \qquad \widetilde{R}(\mathbf{1}) := -1 \qquad \text{and } \mathbf{1}.x = x.\mathbf{1} = 0 \text{ for any } x \in \overline{A}.$$

This is consistent with the axioms (32) which in particular yield $\mathbf{1} \succ x = R(\mathbf{1})x$ and $x \prec \mathbf{1} = -x\widetilde{R}(\mathbf{1})$, in coherence with the dendriform axioms. Using (30) the pre-Lie products (26) write:

$$a \rhd_\theta b = a \succ b - b \prec a = R(a)b + b\tilde{R}(a) = [R(a), b] - \theta ba \tag{39}$$

$$a \lhd_\theta b = a \prec b - b \succ a = -a\widetilde{R}(b) - R(b)a = [a, R(b)] + \theta ab. \tag{40}$$

Introduce the *weight $\theta \in k$ pre-Lie Magnus type recursion*, $\Omega'_\theta := \Omega'_\theta(ta) \in tA[[t]]$, where the Rota–Baxter operator R is naturally extended to $\overline{A}[[t]]$ by $k[[t]]$-linearity:

$$\Omega'_\theta(ta) = \sum_{m\geq 0} \frac{B_m}{m!} L_{\rhd_\theta}[\Omega'_\theta]^m(ta). \tag{41}$$

First, we observe that the weight zero case, $\theta = 0$, is fully coherent with the origin of Magnus' work [33].

Corollary 3. *The limit $\theta \to 0$ of the pre-Lie Magnus type recursion (41) reduces to the classical Magnus expansion:*

$$\Omega'_0(ta) = \sum_{m\geq 0} \frac{B_m}{m!} ad_{R(\Omega'_0)}^{(m)}(ta). \tag{42}$$

Here, as usual, $ad_f(g) := fg - gf := [f,g]$. This follows immediately from (39), i.e. $a \rhd_0 b = [R(a), b]$. Recall that Magnus in [33] considers solutions of the classical initial value problem:

$$\frac{d}{ds}\Phi(s) = \Psi(s)\Phi(s), \qquad \Phi(0) = 1 \tag{43}$$

in a non-commutative context, i.e. Ψ and Φ are supposed to be linear operators depending on a real variable t. Here, 1 denotes the identity operator. Magnus obtained a differential equation for the linear operator $\Omega(\Psi)(s)$ depending on $\Psi(s)$, and with $\Omega(0) = 0$, such that:

$$Y(s) = \exp\big(\Omega(\Psi)(s)\big) = \exp\Big(\int_0^s \dot{\Omega}(\Psi)(u)\,du\Big) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{\big(\Omega(\Psi)(s)\big)^n}{n!},$$

leading to the recursively defined classical Magnus expansion:

$$\Omega(t\Psi)(s) = t\int_0^s \Psi(u)\,du + \int_0^s \sum_{n>0} \frac{B_n}{n!} ad^{(n)}_{\Omega(t\Psi)(u)}(t\Psi(u))\,du, \tag{44}$$

where we introduced the parameter t for later use. The expansion (42) coincides with (44) if the underlying weight zero Rota–Baxter algebra is the one mentioned above, that is, the ring of real continuous functions $C(\mathbb{R})$ with pointwise product and the indefinite Riemann integral as weight zero Rota–Baxter map. Sometimes, Magnus' expansion (44) is also called *continuous Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula*, e.g. see [25, 38, 47].

Using (25), Theorem 3 implies for a fixed element $a \in A$ the following corollary which can be interpreted as the non-commutative Spitzer identity.

Corollary 4. *Let (A, R) be a Rota–Baxter algebra of weight θ. The elements $\hat{X} := -\widetilde{R}(X) = \exp(-\tilde{R}(\Omega'_\theta(ta)))$ and $\hat{Y} := R(Y) = \exp(-R(\Omega'_\theta(ta)))$ in $A[[t]]$ solve the equations:*

$$\hat{X} = 1 - t\tilde{R}(a\hat{X}) \qquad \text{resp.} \qquad \hat{Y} = 1 - tR(\hat{Y}a). \tag{45}$$

Moreover, these recursions lead to the following theorem due to Atkinson [1].

Theorem 4. *Let (A, R) be a Rota–Baxter algebra of weight θ. The recursions (45) have the factorization property:*

$$\hat{Y}(1 - ta\theta)\hat{X} = 1 \;\text{ or }\; (1 - ta\theta) = \hat{Y}^{-1}\hat{X}^{-1}.$$

Proof. Here, 1 is the algebra unit in A. The proof of this statement reduces to a simple algebraic exercise. Recall that $\tilde{R} = -\theta id_A - R$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y}\hat{X} &= \big(1 - tR(\hat{Y}a)\big)\big(1 - t\tilde{R}(a\hat{X})\big) \\ &= 1 - t\tilde{R}(a\hat{X}) - tR(\hat{Y}a) + t^2 R(\hat{Y}a)\tilde{R}(a\hat{X}) \\ &= 1 - t\tilde{R}\big((1 - tR(\hat{Y}a))a\hat{X}\big) - tR\big(\hat{Y}a(1 - tR(a\hat{X}))\big) = 1 + t\theta\hat{Y}a\hat{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Uniquness of the factorization follows when R is idempotent. □

Without problems the reader verfies the next corollary.

Corollary 5. *The elements $\hat{X}^{-1} = \exp(\tilde{R}(\Omega'_\theta(ta)))$ and $\hat{Y}^{-1} := R(Y) = \exp(R(\Omega'_\theta(ta)))$ in $A[[t]]$ solve the equations:*

$$\hat{X}^{-1} = 1 + t\tilde{R}(\hat{Y}a) \qquad \text{resp.} \qquad \hat{Y}^{-1} = 1 + tR(a\hat{X}). \tag{46}$$

Hence, defining the application $\bar{B}(a) := \hat{Y}a$ we may state the two key equations:

$$\hat{Y} = 1 - tR(\bar{B}(a)) \;\text{ and }\; \hat{X}^{-1} = 1 + t\tilde{R}(\bar{B}(a)).$$

Corollary 4 immedialtely results in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.

$$\bar{B}(ta) = \hat{Y}ta = \exp^{*_\theta}(\Omega'_\theta(ta)) - 1. \tag{47}$$

Proof. Indeed, using simple algebra we see that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp^{*_\theta}(\Omega'_\theta(ta)) - 1 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\big(\Omega'_\theta(ta)\big)^{*_\theta n}}{n!} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-\theta^{-1}}{n!} \Big(\big(R(\Omega'_\theta(ta))\big)^n - (-1)^n \big(\tilde{R}(\Omega'_\theta(ta))\big)^n \Big) \\
&= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^{-1}}{n!} \big(R(\Omega'_\theta(ta)\big)^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n\theta^{-1}}{n!} \big(\tilde{R}(\Omega'_\theta(ta)\big)^n \\
&= -\exp\big(R(\Omega'_\theta(ta))\big) + \exp\big(-\tilde{R}(\Omega'_\theta(ta))\big) \\
&= \exp\big(R(\Omega'_\theta(ta))\big)\big(-1 + \exp\big(-R(\Omega'_\theta(ta))\big)\exp\big(-\tilde{R}(\Omega'_\theta(ta))\big)\big) \\
&= \exp\big(R(\Omega'_\theta(ta))\big)ta = t\hat{Y}a.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Let us take a closer look at Atkinson's theorem. In the light of Corollary 4 we find by using the exponential solutions $\hat{X} := -\widetilde{R}(X) = \exp(-\tilde{R}(\Omega_\theta(ta)))$ and $\hat{Y} := R(Y) = \exp(-R(\Omega_\theta(ta)))$ in $A[[t]]$ to the recursions (45):

$$1 - \theta ta = \exp(-\theta\alpha_\theta) = \exp\Big(R\big(\Omega'_\theta(ta)\big)\Big)\exp\Big(\tilde{R}\big(\Omega'_\theta(ta)\big)\Big) \tag{48}$$

with $\alpha_\theta := \alpha_\theta(ta) := -\frac{1}{\theta}\log(1-\theta ta)$.

When $\theta = 0$ we see immediately that $R = -\tilde{R}$ and the above factorization of the algebra unit becomes very evident. Instead, let us keep $\theta \neq 0$, but assume the underlying Rota–Baxter algebra to be commutative. Recall that $a \rhd_\theta b = -\theta ba$. Hence, we see that:

$$\Omega'_\theta(ta) = \sum_{m\geq 0} \frac{B_m}{m!}\big(-\theta\Omega'_\theta\big)^m ta.$$

Which, using the generating series for the Bernoulli numbers, is solved by $\Omega'_\theta(ta) = -\theta^{-1}\log(1-\theta ta)$. Hence, in the commutative setting we find:

$$1 - \theta ta = \exp\Big(R\big(-\theta^{-1}\log(1-\theta ta)\big)\Big)\exp\Big(\tilde{R}\big(-\theta^{-1}\log(1-\theta ta)\big)\Big).$$

which is in full accordance with the classical result due to Spitzer [45]. In fact, Baxter [2] showed for commutative Rota–Baxter algebras (A, R) of weight θ the identity:

$$\exp\Big(\sum_{n>0}\frac{r_n t^n}{n}\Big) = 1 + \sum_{m>0} a_m t^m$$

in $A[[t]]$, where $r_n := R(\theta^{n-1}a^n)$, $a_1 = r_1 = R(a)$, and:

$$a_m = \sum_{(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_m)} \frac{r_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots r_m^{\lambda_m}}{1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\cdots m^{\lambda_m}\lambda_1!\cdots\lambda_m!} = \underbrace{R\big(R(R(\cdots R}_{m-times}(a)a)\ldots a)a\big).$$

The sum goes over all integer m-tuples $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$, $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for which $1\lambda_1 + \cdots + m\lambda_m = m$. The noncommutative generalization of this formulation of Spitzer's identity follows from Theorem 2 together with Proposition 10. Indeed, with $\Omega'(ta) = \sum_{n>0} t^n\Omega'_{(n)}(a)$ we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp\Big(-\sum_{n>0} R(\Omega'_{(n)})t^n\Big) &= 1 + \sum_{m>0} R(w_\succ^{(m)}(a))(-t)^m \\
\exp\Big(-\sum_{n>0} \tilde{R}(\Omega'_{(n)})t^n\Big) &= 1 + \sum_{m>0} \tilde{R}(w_\prec^{(m)}(a))(-t)^m
\end{aligned}$$

corresponding to the recursions:

$$\hat{X} = 1 - R(\hat{X}a) \ \text{ resp. } \ \hat{Y} = 1 - \tilde{R}(a\hat{Y}).$$

Again, but more concretely, in the non-commutative case we see how both, the double Rota–Baxter product (24) and the pre-Lie product (39) fit together in the algebraic structure of weight θ Rota–Baxter algebras.

3.1. **Weight θ BCH-recursion vs. pre-Lie Magnus expansion.** In the light of Corollary 2 and Atkinson's Theorem, it seems to be natural to compare the weight θ pre-Lie Magnus expansion with the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff recursion (17).

For this, we first introduce the weight θ Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff recursion following simply from a linear fitration preserving map P, such that $-\theta id_A = P + (-\theta id_A - P)$, $\theta \in k$. Hence:

$$\chi_\theta(a) = a - \frac{1}{\theta}\,\mathrm{BCH}\big(-P(\chi_\theta(a)),\ -\theta a\big). \tag{49}$$

Giving rise to the factorization:

$$\exp(-\theta a) = \exp\Big(P\big(\chi_\theta(a)\big)\Big)\exp\Big(\tilde{P}\big(\chi_\theta(a)\big)\Big). \tag{50}$$

Now, let us assume that P is a filtration preserving Rota–Baxter map. Then Corollary 2 and Theorem 4, respectively equation (48) imply the equality:

$$\Omega'_\theta(ta) = \chi_\theta(\alpha_\theta) = \chi_\theta\Big(-\frac{\log(1-\theta ta)}{\theta}\Big), \tag{51}$$

From (51) we get for any $\alpha \in tA[[\lambda]]$:

$$\chi_\theta\big(\alpha_\theta\big) = \Omega'_\theta\Big(\frac{1-\exp(-\theta\alpha)}{\theta}\Big). \tag{52}$$

3.2. **Application to perturbative renormalisation II.** We return to paragraph 2.7 where we analysed Connes–Kreimer's factorization from the point of view of the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff recursion (17). Recall that the projector π (respectively its lift to $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A})$, denoted by P) is a weight minus one Rota–Baxter map. Hence the Birkhoff–Connes–Kreimer factorization naturally fits into the context of Rota–Baxter algebra, in particular with respect to Atkinson's factorization theorem respectively the non-commutative Spitzer identity. Hence, it follows ultimately that the group $G_1(\mathcal{A})$ decomposes as a set into the product of two subgroups:

$$G_1(\mathcal{A}) = G_1^-(\mathcal{A}) * G_1^+(\mathcal{A}), \quad \text{where} \quad G_1^-(\mathcal{A}) = \exp^*\big(P(\mathcal{A})\big), \quad G_1^+(\mathcal{A}) = \exp^*\big(\tilde{P}(\mathcal{A})\big).$$

Now, using (52) we see that the counterterm character φ_- in the decomposition:

$$\varphi = \exp^*(a) = \varphi_-^{-1} * \varphi_+$$

writes:

$$\varphi_- = \exp^*\Big(-P\big(\Omega'_{-1}(\exp^*(a) - e)\big)\Big)$$

where $\exp^*(a) - e = \varphi - e$ already appeared in the context of (8) respectively (9), see also Proposition 6. Using Lemma 2 Bogoliubov's preparation map $B(\varphi) := \varphi_- * (\varphi - e)$ finds its exponential form:

$$B(\varphi) = \exp^{*_{-1}}\big(\Omega'_{-1}(\varphi - e)\big) - e.$$

Such that the non-commutative Spitzer identity implies Bogoliubov's recursion for φ_-. Moreover, Corollary 5 tells immediately the equation for φ_+. Here, $*_{-1}$ stands for the Rota–Baxter double product in the weight minus one Rota–Baxter algebra $(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A}), P)$.

Recall our characterization of the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff recursion (17) as the analog of Bogoliubov's preparation map on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$ of infinitesimal characters. Now, by recalling Proposition 6 we may identify the weight minus one pre-Lie Magnus expansion Ω'_{-1} as the analog of Bogoliubov's preparation map. More precisely, first remember that $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ contains $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$ as a Lie subalgebra. Hence, let $\varphi \in G_1(\mathcal{A}) \subset G(\mathcal{A})$, i.e. $\varphi = e + (\varphi - e)$, where obviously $a := \varphi - e \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$. Then:

$$\Omega'_{-1}(a) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{B_m}{m!} L_{\rhd_{-1}}[\Omega'_{-1}]^m(a), \tag{53}$$

maps $a \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$, such that $B(\varphi) = \exp^{*_{-1}}\big(\Omega'_{-1}(\varphi - e)\big) - e$ and

$$\varphi_- = \exp^*\Big(-P\big(\Omega'_{-1}(\varphi - e)\big)\Big) \text{ and } \varphi_+ = \exp^*\Big(\tilde{P}\big(\Omega'_{-1}(\varphi - e)\big)\Big)$$

solve:

$$\varphi_- = e - P\big(\varphi_- * (\varphi - e)\big) \text{ and } \varphi_+ = e + \tilde{P}\big(\varphi_- * (\varphi - e)\big),$$

respectively.

We remark here that this purely Lie algebraic approach to renormalization is an extension of earlier work [17] and will be further explored in the near future. Let us mention that the results in [17] rely on both, the properties of the Dynkin idempotent and on properties of Hopf algebras encapsulated in the notion of associated descent algebras. Similarly, in [22], see also [18], we use free Lie algebra theory, i.e. Lie idempotents to achieve a closed form for the Bogoliubov recurison.

3.3. **Non-commutative Bohnenblust–Spitzer formulas.** Let n be a positive integer, and let $\mathcal{OP}_n$ be the set of ordered partitions of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$, i.e. sequences $(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_k)$ of disjoint subsets (*blocks*) whose union is $\{1,\ldots,n\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{OP}_n^k$ the set of ordered partitions of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ with k blocks. Let us introduce for any $\pi \in \mathcal{OP}_n^k$ the coefficient:

$$\omega(\pi) = \frac{1}{|\pi_1|(|\pi_1| + |\pi_2|)\cdots(|\pi_1| + |\pi_2| + \cdots + |\pi_k|)}.$$

Theorem 5. *Let $a_1,\ldots,a_n$ be elements in a dendriform algebra A. For any subset $E = \{j_1,\ldots,j_m\}$ of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ let $\mathfrak{l}(E) \in A$ defined by:*

$$\mathfrak{l}(E) := \sum_{\sigma\in S_m} \mathfrak{l}^{(m)}(a_{j_{\sigma_1}},\ldots,a_{j_{\sigma_m}}).$$

we have:

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} \Big(\ldots(a_{\sigma_1} \succ a_{\sigma_2}) \succ \cdots a_{\sigma_{n-1}}\Big) \succ a_{\sigma_n} = \sum_{k\geq 1}\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{OP}_n^k} \omega(\pi)\mathfrak{l}(\pi_1) * \cdots * \mathfrak{l}(\pi_k).$$

See [22] where this identity is settled in the Rota–Baxter setting, see also [18]. The proof in the dendriform context is entirely similar. Another expression for the left-hand side can be obtained [23]: For any permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ we define the element $T_\sigma(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ as follows: define first the subset $E_\sigma \subset \{1,\ldots,n\}$ by $k \in E_\sigma$ if and only if $\sigma_{k+1} > \sigma_j$ for any $j \leq k$. We write E_σ in the increasing order:

$$1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_p \leq n-1.$$

Then we set:

$$T_\sigma(a_1,\ldots,a_n) := \ell^{(k_1)}(a_{\sigma_1},\ldots,a_{\sigma_{k_1}}) * \cdots * \ell^{(n-k_p)}(a_{\sigma_{k_p+1}},\ldots,a_{\sigma_n}) \tag{54}$$

There are $p+1$ packets separated by p stars in the right-hand side of the expression (54) above, and the parentheses are set to the left inside each packet. Following [29] it is convenient to write a permutation by putting a vertical bar after each element of E_σ. For example for the permutation $\sigma = (3261457)$ inside S_7 we have $E_\sigma = \{2,6\}$. Putting the vertical bars:

$$\sigma = (32|6145|7)$$

we see that the corresponding element in A will then be:

$$\begin{aligned} T_\sigma(a_1,\ldots,a_7) &= \ell^{(2)}(a_3,a_2) * \ell^{(4)}(a_6,a_1,a_4,a_5) * \ell^{(1)}(a_7) \\ &= (a_3 \rhd a_2) * \Big(\big((a_6 \rhd a_1) \rhd a_4\big) \rhd a_5\Big) * a_7. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6. *For any $a_1,\ldots,a_n$ in the dendriform algebra A the following identity holds:*

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} \big(\cdots(a_{\sigma_1} \succ a_{\sigma_2}) \succ \cdots\big) \succ a_{\sigma_n} = \sum_{\sigma\in S_n} T_\sigma(a_1,\ldots,a_n). \tag{55}$$

A q-analog of this identity has been recently proved by J-C. Novelli and J-Y. Thibon [39].

4. As simple as it gets: a matrix calculus for renormalization

We shortly introduce a matrix setting for renormalization, associated with any left co-ideal of the Hopf algebra. Although we won't detail this point, let us mention that this matrix approach is particularly well-suited for the study of the renormalization group and the beta-function for local characters in connected *graded* Hopf algebras with values into meromorphic functions [9]. See [21] as well as [20, 14] for a detailed account and applications.

4.1. **The matrix representation.** In this section we introduce the matrix representation of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A})$ associated with a left coideal, following [21]. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected filtered Hopf algebra over the field k, let $\mathcal{A}$ be any commutative unital k-algebra, and let $\big(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A}),\star\big)$ be the algebra of k-linear maps from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ endowed with the convolution product. Let J be any left coideal of $\mathcal{H}$ (i.e. a vector subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\Delta(J)\subset\mathcal{H}\otimes J$).

We fix a basis $X=(x_i)_{i\in I}$ of the left coideal J. Furthermore we suppose that this basis is denumerable (hence indexed by $I=\mathbb{N}$ or $I=\{1,\cdots,m\}$) and *filtration ordered*, i.e. such that if $i\le j$ and $x_j\in\mathcal{H}^n$, then $x_i\in\mathcal{H}^n$.

Definition 1. *The coproduct matrix in the basis X is the $|I|\times|I|$ matrix M with entries in $\mathcal{H}$ defined by :*

$$\Delta(x_i)=\sum_{j\in I}M_{ij}\otimes x_j.$$

The coproduct matrix is lower-triangular with diagonal terms equal to $\mathbf{1}$ ([21] Lemma 1). Now define $\Psi_J:\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A})\to\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}\otimes J)$ by :

$$\Psi_J[f](x_j)=\sum_i f(M_{ij})\otimes x_i. \tag{56}$$

In other words, the matrix of $\Psi_J[f]$ is given by $f(M):=\big(f(M_{ij})\big)_{i,j\in I}$. It is shown in [14] and also [21] that the map Ψ_J defined above is an algebra homomorphism. Its transpose does not depend on the choice of the basis. The Lie algebra of $\mathcal{A}$-valued infinitesimal characters (resp. the group of $\mathcal{A}$-valued characters) is mapped by Ψ_J into the Lie subalgebra of strictly lower-triangular matrices (resp. into the group of lower triangular matrices with –$\mathcal{A}$-algebra units– 1's on the diagonal).

The coproduct matrix M with entries in $\mathcal{H}$ can be seen as the image of the identity map under $\Psi_J:\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H})\to\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{H}\otimes J)$, i.e. :

$$\Psi_J[Id](x_j)=\sum_i Id(M_{ij})\otimes x_i. \tag{57}$$

We have $\Psi_J[S]=M^{-1}$, where S is the antipode. The matrix $L=\log M$ is the matrix of *normal coordinates*. For any $\mathcal{A}$-valued character φ we have :

$$\log\Psi_J[\varphi]=\varphi(L).$$

4.2. **The matrix form of Connes–Kreimer's Birkhoff decomposition.** Suppose that the commutative target space algebra $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A})$ splits into two subalgebras:

$$\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_-\oplus\mathcal{A}_+, \tag{58}$$

where the unit $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_+$. Let us denote by $\pi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}_-$ the projection onto $\mathcal{A}_-$ parallel to $\mathcal{A}_+$, which is a weight -1 Rota–Baxter map. The algebra $\mathcal{M}:=\mathcal{M}^{\ell}_{|I|}(\mathcal{A})$ of lower-triangular $|I|\times|I|$-matrices with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$ is filtered by the subalgebras $\mathcal{M}^i=\{X\in\mathcal{M},\ X_{kl}=0 \text{ if } k<l-i\}$. The filtration is finite, hence complete.

We define a Rota–Baxter map R on $\Psi_J[\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{A})]\subset\mathcal{M}^{\ell}_{|I|}(\mathcal{A})$ by extending the Rota–Baxter map π on $\mathcal{A}$ entrywise, i.e., for the matrix $\tau=(\tau_{ij})\in\mathcal{M}^{\ell}_{|I|}(\mathcal{A})$, define:

$$\mathrm{R}(\tau)=\big(\pi(\tau_{ij})\big). \tag{59}$$

The algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is then a complete filtered Rota–Baxter algebra. Let us denote $\Psi_J[\varphi] := \widehat{\varphi}$ for short. As $\varphi \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}$ is a morphism of complete filtered Rota–Baxter algebras we immediately get the matrix Birkhoff–Connes–Kreimer decomposition:

$$\widehat{\varphi} = \widehat{\varphi_-}^{\,-1}\widehat{\varphi_+}.$$

In other words, the map Ψ_J respects the Birkhoff decomposition, i.e. $\widehat{\varphi}_\pm = \widehat{\varphi_\pm}$. We immediately see that $\widehat{\varphi}_-$ and $\widehat{\varphi}_+^{-1}$ are unique solutions of the following equations:

$$\widehat{\varphi}_- = \mathbf{1} - \mathrm{R}\Big(\widehat{\varphi}_-\ (\widehat{\varphi} - \mathbf{1})\Big), \tag{60}$$

$$\widehat{\varphi}_+^{-1} = \mathbf{1} - \tilde{\mathrm{R}}\Big((\widehat{\varphi} - \mathbf{1})\ \widehat{\varphi}_+^{-1}\Big), \tag{61}$$

respectively. Moreover, after some simple algebra using the matrix factorization $\widehat{\varphi} = \widehat{\varphi}_-^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_+$:

$$\widehat{\varphi}_+(\widehat{\varphi}^{-1} - \mathbf{1})\ = \widehat{\varphi}_- - \widehat{\varphi}_+ = -\widehat{\varphi}_-(\widehat{\varphi} - \mathbf{1})$$

we immediately get the recursion for $\widehat{\varphi}_+$ [21]:

$$\widehat{\varphi}_+ = \mathbf{1} - \tilde{\mathrm{R}}\Big(\widehat{\varphi}_+\ (\widehat{\varphi}^{-1} - \mathbf{1})\Big), \tag{62}$$

and hence we see that

$$\widehat{\varphi}_+ = \mathbf{1} + \tilde{\mathrm{R}}\Big(\widehat{\varphi}_-\ (\widehat{\varphi} - \mathbf{1})\Big). \tag{63}$$

The matrix entries of $\widehat{\varphi}_-$ and $\widehat{\varphi}_+^{-1}$ can be calculated without recursions using $\sigma := \widehat{\varphi}$ from the equations [20]:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\widehat{\varphi}_-)_{ij} &= -\pi(\sigma_{ij}) - \sum_{k=2}^{j-i} \sum_{i>l_1>l_2>\cdots>l_{k-1}>j} (-1)^{k+1}\pi\big(\pi(\cdots\pi(\sigma_{il_1})\sigma_{l_1l_2})\cdots\sigma_{l_{k-1}j}\big)\\
(\widehat{\varphi}_+^{-1})_{ij} &= -\tilde{\pi}((\sigma^{-1})_{ij})\\
&\quad - \sum_{k=2}^{j-i} \sum_{i>l_1>l_2>\cdots>l_{k-1}>j} (-1)^{k+1}\tilde{\pi}\big(\tilde{\pi}(\cdots\tilde{\pi}((\sigma^{-1})_{il_1})(\sigma^{-1})_{l_1l_2})\cdots(\sigma^{-1})_{l_{k-1}j}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\pi} := id_{\mathcal{A}} - \pi$. The matrix entries of $\widehat{\varphi}_+$ follow from the first formula, i.e., the one for the entries in $\widehat{\varphi}_-$, by replacing π by $-\tilde{\pi}$. We may therefore define the matrix:

$$\widehat{B}[\varphi] := \widehat{\varphi}_-\ (\widehat{\varphi} - \mathbf{1}) \tag{64}$$

such that:

$$\widehat{\varphi}_- = \mathbf{1} - \mathrm{R}\Big(\widehat{B}[\varphi]\Big) \ \text{ and } \ \widehat{\varphi}_+ = \mathbf{1} + \tilde{\mathrm{R}}\Big(\widehat{B}[\varphi]\Big). \tag{65}$$

In fact, equations (63) and (61) may be called *Bogoliubov's matrix formulae* for the counter term and renormalized Feynman rules matrix, $\widehat{\varphi}_-$, $\widehat{\varphi}_+$, respectively. Equation (64) is the matrix form of Bogoliubov's preparation map (6), e.g. see [6] :

$$\widehat{B}[\varphi] := \Psi_J[B(\varphi)] = \Psi_J[\varphi_- \star (\varphi - e)]. \tag{66}$$

Remark 4. We may apply the result from subsection 2.6 to the above matrix representation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{A}}$ respectively $G_{\mathcal{A}}$. We have shown the existence of a unique non-linear map χ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{A}}$ which allows to write the characters φ_- and φ_+ as exponentials. In the matrix picture we hence find for $\widehat{Z} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\widehat{\varphi} = \exp(\widehat{Z}) \in \widehat{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$:

$$\widehat{\varphi} = \exp\big(\mathrm{R}(\chi(\widehat{Z}))\big)\exp\big(\tilde{\mathrm{R}}(\chi(\widehat{Z}))\big). \tag{67}$$

The matrices $\widehat{\varphi}_- := \exp\big(-\mathrm{R}(\chi(\widehat{Z}))\big)$ and $\widehat{\varphi}_+^{-1} := \exp\big(-\tilde{\mathrm{R}}(\chi(\widehat{Z}))\big)$ are in $\widehat{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^-$ and $\widehat{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^+$, respectively, and solve Bogoliubov's matrix formulae in (65).

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the organizers of the CIRM 2006 Workshop ”Renormalization and Galois Theory“ for giving us the opportunity to present parts of our recent research results. The stimulating atmosphere we found at this memorable workshop affected us considerably. Many of the newer results presented here were achieved jointly with J. M. Gracia-Bondía, and F. Patras and a warm thanks goes to both for constant and fruitful ongoing collaborations.

References

[1] F. V. Atkinson, Some aspects of Baxter's functional equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 7 (1963), 1–30.

[2] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960), 731–742.

[3] N. N. Bogoliubov, O. S. Parasiuk, On the multiplication of causal functions in the quantum theory of fields, *Acta Math.* 97 (1957), 227–266.

[4] J. Cariñena, K. Ebrahimi-Fard, H. Figueroa, J. M. Gracia-Bondía, Hopf algebras in dynamical systems theory, *Int. J. of Geom. Meth. in Mod. Phys.* 4 (2007), 577–646.

[5] P. Cartier, On the structure of free Baxter algebras, *Adv. Math.* 9 (1972), 253–265.

[6] J. Collins, *Renormalization*, Cambridge monographs in math. physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1984.

[7] A. Connes, D. Kreimer, Hopf algebras, Renormalisation and Noncommutative Geometry, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 199 (1998), 203–242.

[8] A. Connes, D. Kreimer, Renormalization in Quantum Field Theory and the Riemann–Hilbert problem I: the Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 210 (2000), 249–273.

[9] A. Connes, D. Kreimer, Renormalization in Quantum Field Theory and the Riemann–Hilbert problem II: the β-function, diffeomorphisms and the renormalization group, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 216 (2001), 215–241.

[10] A. Connes, M. Marcolli, From Physics to Number Theory via Noncommutative Geometry. Part II: Renormalization, the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, and motivic Galois theory, in *Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics, and Geometry, II* (ed. by Pierre Cartier, Bernard Julia, Pierre Moussa and Pierre Vanhove, Springer Verlag, 2006, 617–713.

[11] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, Loday-type algebras and the Rota–Baxter relation, *Letters in Mathematical Physics* 61 (2002), 139–147.

[12] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, L. Guo, D. Kreimer, Spitzer's identity and the algebraic Birkhoff decomposition in pQFT, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 37 (2004), 11036–11052.

[13] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, L. Guo, D. Kreimer, Integrable renormalization II: the general case, *Ann. H. Poincaré* 6 (2005), 369–395.

[14] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, L. Guo, Matrix representation of renormalization in perturbative quantum field theory, arXiv:hep-th/0508155 (2005).

[15] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, D. Kreimer, Hopf algebra approach to Feynman diagram calculations, *J. Phys. A* 38 (2005), R385–R406.

[16] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, L. Guo, D. Manchon, Birkhoff type decompositions and the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff recursion, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 267 (2006), 821–845.

[17] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, J. Gracia-Bondía, F. Patras, A Lie theoretic approach to renormalization, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 276 (2007), 519–549.

[18] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, J. M. Gracia-Bondía, F. Patras, Rota–Baxter algebras and new combinatorial identities, *Letters in Mathematical Physics* 81 (2007), 61–75.

[19] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, D. Manchon, A Magnus- and Fer-type formula in dendriform algebras, to appear in *Foundations of Computational Mathematics.* arXiv:0707.0607 (2007).

[20] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, L. Guo, J. M. Gracia-Bondía, J. Varilly, Combinatorics of renormalization as matrix calculus, *Phys. Lett. B* 632 (2006), 6552–558.

[21] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, D. Manchon, On matrix differential equations in the Hopf algebra of renormalization, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 10 (2006), 879–913.

[22] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, D. Manchon, F. Patras, A noncommutative Bohnenblust–Spitzer identity for Rota–Baxter algebras solves Bogoliubov's recursion, to appear in *Journal of Noncommutative Geometry.* arXiv.0705.1265 (2007).

[23] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, D. Manchon, F. Patras, New identities in dendriform algebras, *Journal of Algebra* 320 (2008), 708–727.

[24] H. Figueroa, J.M. Gracia-Bondía, Combinatorial Hopf algebras in Quantum Field Theory I, *Reviews of Mathematical Physics* 17 (2005), 881–976.

[25] I. M. Gelfand, D. Krob, A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, V. Retakh, J.-Y. Thibon, Noncommutative symmetric functions, *Adv. Math.* 112 (1995), 218–348.

[26] K. Hepp, Proof of the Bogoliubov–Parasiuk theorem on renormalization, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 2 (1966), 301–326.

[27] S. A. Joni, G-C. Rota, Coalgebras and bialgebras in combinatorics, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 61 (1979), 93–139.

[28] D. Kreimer, On the Hopf algebra structure of perturbative quantum field theories, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 2 (1998), 303–334.

[29] C. S. Lam, Decomposition of time-ordered products and path-ordered exponentials, *J. Math. Phys.* 39 (1998), 5543–5558.

[30] J.-L. Loday, *Dialgebras*, Lect. Notes Math. 1763, Springer Berlin 2001, 7–66.

[31] J-L. Loday, M. Ronco, Hopf algebra of the planar binary trees, *Adv. Math.* 139 (1998), 293–309.

[32] J.-L. Loday, M. Ronco, Trialgebras and families of polytopes, in *Homotopy Theory: Relations with Algebraic Geometry, Group Cohomology, and Algebraic K-theory*, Contemporary Mathematics 346 2004, 369–398.

[33] W. Magnus, On the exponential solution of differential equations for a linear operator, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 7 (1954), 649–673.

[34] D. Manchon, L'algèbre de Hopf bitensorielle, *Comm. Algebra* 25 (1997), 1537–1551.

[35] D. Manchon, Hopf algebras, from basics to applications to renormalization, Rencontres Mathématiques de Glanon 2001 (published 2003). arxiv:math.QA/0408405

[36] D. Manchon, *Hopf algebras and renormalisation*, Handbook of Algebra,Vol. 5 (M. Hazewinkel ed.), 2008, 365-427.

[37] S. Montgomery, Some remarks on filtrations of Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* 21 (2003), 999–1007.

[38] B. Mielnik, J. Plebański, Combinatorial approach to Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff exponents, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré A* XII (1970), 215–254.

[39] J-C. Novelli, J-Y. Thibon, A one-parameter family of dendriform identities, arXiv:0709.3235 (2007).

[40] Ch. Reutenauer, *Free Lie algebras*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 1993.

[41] M. Ronco, Primitive elements in a free dendriform algebra, *Contemp. Math.* 207 (2000), 245–263.

[42] G.-C. Rota, Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities. I, II., *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 75 (1969), 325–329; *ibidem*, 330–334.

[43] G.-C. Rota, D. A. Smith, Fluctuation theory and Baxter algebras, *Instituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica* IX (1972), 179–201.

[44] M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, What is a classical r-matrix?, *Funct. Ana. Appl.* 17 (1983), 254–272.

[45] F. Spitzer, A combinatorial lemma and its application to probability theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 82 (1956), 323–339.

[46] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras*, Benjamin, New-York, 1969.

[47] R. S. Strichartz, The Campbell–Baker–Hausdorff–Dynkin formula and solutions of differential equations, *J. Func. Anal.* 72 (1987), 320–345.

[48] V. S. Varadarajan, *Lie groups, Lie algebras and their representations*, Springer Verlag, 1984.

[49] W. Zimmermann, Convergence of Bogoliubov's method of renormalization in momentum space, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 15 (1969), 208–234.

Laboratoire MIA, Université de Haute Alsace, 4-6 rue des Frères Lumière, 68093 Mulhouse, Cédex 07, France
E-mail address: `kurusch.ebrahimi-fard@uha.fr`
URL: `http://www.th.physik.uni-bonn.de/th/People/fard/`

Université Blaise Pascal, C.N.R.S.-UMR 6620, 63177 Aubière, France
E-mail address: `manchon@math.univ-bpclermont.fr`
URL: `http://math.univ-bpclermont.fr/~manchon/`